

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,664	02/06/2002	Chuan Li	ETI.PMMU.011502	8973
75	90 11/28/2005	005 EXAMINER		INER
Chuan Li		KETTER, JAMES S		
Apt. 158 7908 Avenida Navidad		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA 92122			1636	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/068,664	LI, CHUAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James S. Ketter	1636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)⊠	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 16-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner	election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2002</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1636

Claims 1-15 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12 January 2004.

Applicant's arguments filed 14 September 2005 are noted. The rejections under 35 USC § 102(b) are withdrawn in that the invention as now claimed and interpreted is self-contradictory, and is thus not taught by the prior art references. Furthermore, the grounds of the rejection under 35 § USC 112, second paragraph are new, as necessitated by amendment. In both instances, Applicant's arguments filed in the amendment are no longer pertinent.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

The instant claims are now drawn to a plasmid comprising an origin of replication and a selection marker gene, with four additional features, of which "(a)" is that the "plasmid is synthesized with sequences generated from existing plasmids", and "(c)" and "(d)" are that the

Art Unit: 1636

plasmid is synthesized without using a whole existing plasmid as either starting material or as a structure template. However, if the sequences come from an existing plasmid or plasmids, how can they be prohibited from being isolated from or copied from an existing plasmid, i.e., starting material or template? A "plasmid" and a "whole plasmid" are synonymous. As such, the claims as now amended read upon an invention that is self-contradictory, and there is no description in the specification as filed for such an invention. Thus, the plasmid as now claimed represents new matter.

Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

As set forth above, the instant claims are now drawn to a plasmid comprising an origin of replication and a selection marker gene, with four additional features, of which "(a)" is that the "plasmid is synthesized with sequences generated from existing plasmids", and "(c)" and "(d)" are that the plasmid is synthesized without using a whole existing plasmid as either starting material or as a structure template. However, if the sequences come from an existing plasmid or plasmids, how can they be prohibited from being isolated from or copied from an existing plasmid, i.e., starting material or template? A "plasmid" and a "whole plasmid" are synonymous. As such, the claims as now amended read upon an invention that is self-contradictory. One of skill in the art could not have made such an invention, let alone have used it.

Art Unit: 1636

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As set forth above, the instant claims are now drawn to a plasmid comprising an origin of replication and a selection marker gene, with four additional features, of which "(a)" is that the "plasmid is synthesized with sequences generated from existing plasmids", and "(c)" and "(d)" are that the plasmid is synthesized without using a whole existing plasmid as either starting material or as a structure template. However, if the sequences come from an existing plasmid or plasmids, how can they be prohibited from being isolated from or copied from an existing plasmid, i.e., starting material or template? A "plasmid" and a "whole plasmid" are synonymous. As such, the metes and bounds of the claims as now amended are not clear, in that the invention therein claimed cannot be made, and thus it is not apparent what embodiments possibly could be present.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 1636

·

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner with respect to the examination on the merits should be directed to James Ketter whose telephone number is (571) 272-0770. The Examiner normally can be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30), with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, can be reached at (571) 272-0781.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is

Art Unit: 1636

Page 6

(866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of

document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of

the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of

the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the

problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete

service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system

provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also

enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as

general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-

9199.

Jsk

November 21, 2005

JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER